

Hyers–Ulam–Rassias Stability of Derivations on Hilbert C^* -Modules

Maryam Amyari and Mohammad Sal Moslehian

Dedicated to Professor Themistocles M. Rassias

ABSTRACT. Consider the functional equation $\mathcal{E}_1(f) = \mathcal{E}_2(f)$ ($\mathcal{E}$) in a certain framework. We say a function f_0 is an approximate solution of ($\mathcal{E}$) if $\mathcal{E}_1(f_0)$ and $\mathcal{E}_2(f_0)$ are close in some sense. The stability problem is whether or not there is an exact solution of ($\mathcal{E}$) near f_0 .

In this paper, the stability of derivations on Hilbert C^* -modules is investigated in the spirit of Hyers–Ulam–Rassias.

1. Introduction

One of the interesting questions in the theory of functional equations is the following (see [GRU]):

When is it true that a function which approximately satisfies a functional equation $\mathcal{E}$ must be close to an exact solution of $\mathcal{E}$?

If there exists an affirmative answer we say that the equation $\mathcal{E}$ is stable.

The first stability problem was raised by S. M. Ulam during his talk before a Mathematical Colloquium at the University of Wisconsin in 1940 [ULA]:

Given a group $\mathcal{G}_1$, a metric group $(\mathcal{G}_2, d)$ and a positive number ϵ , does there exist a number $\delta > 0$ such that if a function $f : \mathcal{G}_1 \rightarrow \mathcal{G}_2$ satisfies the inequality $d(f(xy), f(x)f(y)) < \delta$ for all $x, y \in \mathcal{G}_1$ then there exists a homomorphism $T : \mathcal{G}_1 \rightarrow \mathcal{G}_2$ such that $d(f(x), T(x)) < \epsilon$ for all $x \in \mathcal{G}_1$?

Ulam's problem was partially solved by D. H. Hyers in 1941 in the context of Banach spaces with $\delta = \epsilon$ in the following form [HYE]:

Suppose that $\mathcal{X}_1$ and $\mathcal{X}_2$ are Banach spaces and $f : \mathcal{X}_1 \rightarrow \mathcal{X}_2$ satisfies the following condition: If there is $\epsilon > 0$ such that $\|f(x+y) - f(x) - f(y)\| < \epsilon$ for all $x, y \in \mathcal{X}_1$, then there is a unique additive mapping $T : \mathcal{X}_1 \rightarrow \mathcal{X}_2$ defined by $T(x) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{f(2^n x)}{2^n}$ such that $\|f(x) - T(x)\| < \epsilon$ for all $x \in \mathcal{X}_1$.

Th. M. Rassias [RAS1] extended Hyers' theorem in the following form where Cauchy difference is allowed to be unbounded:

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 39B52; Secondary 39B82, 46L08, 46L57.

The authors would like to sincerely thank Professor Marina Haralampidou for the great hospitality during their stay in Greece.

Assume that $\mathcal{X}_1$ and $\mathcal{X}_2$ are real normed spaces with $\mathcal{X}_2$ complete, $f : \mathcal{X}_1 \rightarrow \mathcal{X}_2$ is a mapping such that for each fixed $x \in \mathcal{X}_1$ the mapping $t \mapsto f(tx)$ is continuous on $\mathbb{R}$, and let there exist $\varepsilon \geq 0$ and $p \in [0, 1)$ such that

$$\|f(x+y) - f(x) - f(y)\| \leq \varepsilon(\|x\|^p + \|y\|^p)$$

for all $x, y \in \mathcal{X}_1$. Then there exists a unique linear mapping $T : \mathcal{X}_1 \rightarrow \mathcal{X}_2$ such that

$$\|f(x) - T(x)\| \leq \frac{\varepsilon\|x\|^p}{1 - 2^{p-1}}$$

for all $x \in \mathcal{X}_1$.

This result is still valid in the case where $p < 0$ by the same approach given in [RAS1] if we assume that $\|0\|^p = \infty$. In 1990, Th. M. Rassias during the 27th International Symposium on Functional Equations asked the question whether his theorem can be proved for $p \geq 1$. In 1991, Z. Gajda [GAJ] following the same approach as in [RAS1] provided an affirmative solution to this question for $p > 1$. Using Hyers' method, indeed, $T(x)$ is defined by $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} 2^{-n} f(2^n x)$ if $p < 1$, and $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} 2^n f(2^{-n} x)$ if $p > 1$. It is shown that there is no analogue of Th. M. Rassias' result for $p = 1$ (see [GAJ] and [R-S]). This phenomenon of stability that was introduced by Th. M. Rassias [RAS1] is called *Hyers–Ulam–Rassias stability*. Thus the Hyers–Ulam stability will be regarded as a special case of the Hyers–Ulam–Rassias stability. A number of Rassias type results related to the stability of various functional equations are presented in [RAS2, RAS3].

In 1992, a generalization of Rassias' theorem was obtained by Găvruta as follows [GAV]:

Suppose $(\mathcal{G}, +)$ is an abelian group, $\mathcal{X}$ is a Banach space and the so-called admissible control function $\varphi : \mathcal{G} \times \mathcal{G} \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ satisfies

$$\tilde{\varphi}(x, y) := \frac{1}{2} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} 2^{-n} \varphi(2^n x, 2^n y) < \infty$$

for all $x, y \in \mathcal{G}$. If $f : \mathcal{G} \rightarrow \mathcal{X}$ is a mapping with

$$\|f(x+y) - f(x) - f(y)\| \leq \varphi(x, y)$$

for all $x, y \in \mathcal{G}$, then there exists a unique mapping $T : \mathcal{G} \rightarrow \mathcal{X}$ such that $T(x+y) = T(x) + T(y)$ and $\|f(x) - T(x)\| \leq \tilde{\varphi}(x, x)$ for all $x, y \in \mathcal{G}$.

There are four methods in the study of stability of functional equations. The first method is the *direct method* in which one uses an iteration process producing the so-called *Hyers type sequences* [HYE]. Another method is based on *sandwich theorems* which are generalizations of the Hahn-Banach separation theorems; cf. [PAL]. The third technique focuses on using *invariant means*; cf. [SZE], and the foundation of the forth method is *fixed point techniques*; cf. [C-R, I-R]. The reader is referred to [CZE, H-I-R, JUN] and references therein for further information on stability.

The notion of Hilbert C^* -module is a generalization of the notion of Hilbert space.

Let $\mathcal{A}$ be a C^* -algebra and $\mathcal{M}$ be a linear space which is a left $\mathcal{A}$ -module with a scalar multiplication satisfying $\lambda(xa) = x(\lambda a) = (\lambda x)a$ for $x \in \mathcal{M}, a \in \mathcal{A}, \lambda \in \mathbb{C}$. The space $\mathcal{M}$ is called a *pre-Hilbert $\mathcal{A}$ -module* or *inner product $\mathcal{A}$ -module* if there exists an inner product $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle : \mathcal{M} \times \mathcal{M} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$ with the following properties:

- (i) $\langle x, x \rangle \geq 0$; and $\langle x, x \rangle = 0$ iff $x = 0$;
- (ii) $\langle \lambda x + y, z \rangle = \lambda \langle x, z \rangle + \langle y, z \rangle$;
- (iii) $\langle ax, y \rangle = a \langle x, y \rangle$;

$$(iv) \langle x, y \rangle^* = \langle y, x \rangle.$$

$\mathcal{M}$ is called a (left) Hilbert $\mathcal{A}$ -module if it is complete with respect to the norm $\|x\| = \|\langle x, x \rangle\|^{1/2}$.

(i) Every inner product space is a left Hilbert $\mathbb{C}$ -module.

(ii) Let $\mathcal{A}$ be a C^* -algebra. Then every closed left ideal I of $\mathcal{A}$ is a Hilbert $\mathcal{A}$ -module if one defines $\langle a, b \rangle = ab^*$ ($a, b \in I$).

Assume that $\mathcal{N}$ is another Hilbert $\mathcal{A}$ -module. Recall that a mapping $T : \mathcal{M} \rightarrow \mathcal{N}$ is said to be adjointable if there exists a mapping $S : \mathcal{N} \rightarrow \mathcal{M}$ such that $\langle Tx, y \rangle = \langle x, Sy \rangle$ for all $x \in \mathcal{M}, y \in \mathcal{N}$. The mapping S is denoted by T^* and called the adjoint of T . If T is adjointable, then it is $\mathcal{A}$ -linear and automatically continuous; cf. [LAN].

Following [L-X], a linear mapping $d : \mathcal{M} \rightarrow \mathcal{M}$ is called a *derivation* on the Hilbert C^* -module $\mathcal{M}$ if it satisfies the condition $d(\langle x, y \rangle z) = \langle d(x), y \rangle z + \langle x, d(y) \rangle z + \langle x, y \rangle d(z)$ for every $x, y, z \in \mathcal{M}$. It is clear that every adjointable mapping T satisfying $T^* = -T$ is a derivation. The converse is not true in general. For example, let u_0 be a bounded linear operator acting on a Hilbert space H of dimension greater than one such that $u_0^* = -u_0$ and u_0 is not an element of the center of $B(H)$ (e.g. consider a fixed vector $\xi \in H$ and put $u_0(\zeta) = 2i\langle \zeta, \xi \rangle \xi$, ($\zeta \in H$)). Obviously, the mapping $d : B(H) \rightarrow B(H)$ defined by $d(v) = u_0 v - v u_0$ is an ordinary $*$ -derivation on $B(H)$ and so it is a derivation on $B(H)$ regarded as a Hilbert C^* -module over itself. If d were a $B(H)$ -module map, in the sense that $d(vw) = vd(w)$ for all $v, w \in B(H)$, then $u_0(vw) - (vw)u_0 = v(u_0 w - w u_0)$ and so $u_0 vw = v u_0 w$ for each $v, w \in B(H)$. In particular, $u_0 v = v u_0$ for all $v \in B(H)$ which is a contradiction. Since adjointable mappings are module map, we infer that d is not adjointable.

Recently, several extended notions of derivations such as $(\sigma - \tau)$ -derivations and generalized derivations have been treated in the Banach algebra theory (see [B-V, M-M]). In addition, the stability of these derivations in the spirit of Hyers–Ulam–Rassias has extensively studied by many mathematicians; see [MOS1, MOS2, PAR1, PAR2]. In this paper we establish the stability of derivations on Hilbert C^* -modules. Throughout the paper, $\mathcal{M}$ denotes a Hilbert module over a C^* -algebra $\mathcal{A}$.

2. Main results

Recently, the stability of several mappings on Hilbert C^* -modules was investigated (see [AMY, MOS3]). Using some ideas from [C-R] we investigate the stability of derivations on Hilbert C^* -modules. Our results may be regarded as an extension of those of [PAR2] when we consider a unital C^* -algebra $\mathcal{A}$ as an $\mathcal{A}$ -bimodule via its multiplication. We start our work with a known fixed point theorem.

THEOREM 1 (The alternative of fixed point). *Suppose $(\mathcal{S}, d)$ is a complete generalized metric space and $J : \mathcal{S} \rightarrow \mathcal{S}$ is a strictly contractive mapping with the Lipschitz constant L . Then, for each given element $x \in \mathcal{S}$, either*

$$(A1) \ d(J^n x, J^{n+1} x) = \infty$$

for all $n \geq 0$, or

(A2) *There exists a natural number n_0 such that:*

$$(A20) \ d(J^n x, J^{n+1} x) < \infty, \text{ for all } n \geq n_0;$$

(A21) *The sequence $\{J^n x\}$ is convergent to a fixed point y^* of J ;*

(A22) y^* is the unique fixed point of J in the set $U = \{y \in \mathcal{S} : d(J^{n_0}x, y) < \infty\}$;
 (A23) $d(y, y^*) \leq \frac{1}{1-L}d(y, Jy)$ for all $y \in U$.

The following lemma gives us a useful strictly contractive mapping.

LEMMA 2. Suppose that $\mathcal{X}$ is a Banach space, $0 \leq L < 1$ and $\lambda \geq 0$ are given numbers and $\psi(x) : \mathcal{X} \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ has the property

$$\psi(x) \leq \lambda L \psi\left(\frac{x}{\lambda}\right),$$

for all $x \in \mathcal{X}$. Assume that $\mathcal{S} := \{g : \mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathcal{X} : g(0) = 0\}$ and the generalized metric d on $\mathcal{S}$ is defined by

$$d(g, h) = \inf\{c \in (0, \infty) : \|g(x) - h(x)\| \leq c\psi(x), \forall x \in \mathcal{X}\}.$$

Then the mapping $J : \mathcal{S} \rightarrow \mathcal{S}$ given by $(Jg)(x) := \frac{1}{\lambda}g(\lambda x)$ is an strictly contractive mapping.

PROOF. It is easy to see that $(\mathcal{S}, d)$ is complete. For arbitrary elements $g, h \in \mathcal{S}$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} d(g, h) < c &\Rightarrow \|g(x) - h(x)\| \leq c\psi(x), \quad x \in \mathcal{X} \\ &\Rightarrow \left\| \frac{1}{\lambda}g(\lambda x) - \frac{1}{\lambda}h(\lambda x) \right\| \leq \frac{1}{\lambda}c\psi(\lambda x), \quad x \in \mathcal{X} \\ &\Rightarrow \left\| \frac{1}{\lambda}g(\lambda x) - \frac{1}{\lambda}h(\lambda x) \right\| \leq Lc\psi(x), \quad x \in \mathcal{X} \\ &\Rightarrow d(Jg, Jh) \leq Lc. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore

$$d(Jg, Jh) \leq Ld(g, h), \quad g, h \in \mathcal{S}.$$

Hence J is a strictly contractive mapping on $\mathcal{S}$ with the Lipschitz constant L . $\square$

THEOREM 3. Let $\varphi : \mathcal{M}^5 \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ be a control function with the property

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\varphi(2^n x, 2^n y, 2^n u, 2^n v, 2^n w)}{2^n} = 0,$$

for all $x, y, u, v, w \in \mathcal{M}$. Suppose that $f : \mathcal{M} \rightarrow \mathcal{M}$ is a mapping satisfying $f(0) = 0$ and

$$(2.1) \quad \|f(\mu x + y) - \mu f(x) - f(y) + f(\langle u, v \rangle w) - \langle f(u), v \rangle w - \langle u, f(v) \rangle w - \langle u, v \rangle f(w)\| \leq \varphi(x, y, u, v, w),$$

for all $\mu \in \mathbb{T} = \{z \in \mathbb{C} : |z| = 1\}$ and $x, y, u, v, w \in \mathcal{M}$. Assume that there exists $0 \leq L_0 < 1$ such that the mapping $\psi(x) = \varphi(\frac{x}{2}, \frac{x}{2}, 0, 0, 0)$ has the property

$$(2.2) \quad \psi(x) \leq 2L_0\psi\left(\frac{x}{2}\right),$$

for all $x \in \mathcal{M}$.

Then there exists a unique derivation $T_0 : \mathcal{M} \rightarrow \mathcal{M}$ such that

$$\|f(x) - T_0(x)\| \leq \frac{L_0}{1 - L_0}\psi(x),$$

for all $x \in \mathcal{M}$.

PROOF. Setting $\mu = 1, y = x$ and $u = v = w = 0$ in (2.1) we obtain

$$(2.3) \quad \|f(2x) - 2f(x)\| \leq \varphi(x, x, 0, 0, 0).$$

It follows from (2.2) and (2.3) that

$$\|\frac{1}{2}f(2x) - f(x)\| \leq \frac{1}{2}\psi(2x) \leq L_0\psi(x).$$

Hence $d(f, J_0f) \leq L_0 < \infty$, where the mapping J_0 is defined on $\mathcal{S} := \{g : \mathcal{M} \rightarrow \mathcal{M} : g(0) = 0\}$ by $(J_0g)(x) := \frac{1}{2}g(2x)$ as in Lemma 2. Applying the fixed point alternative we deduce the existence of a mapping $T_0 : \mathcal{M} \rightarrow \mathcal{M}$ such that T_0 is a fixed point of J_0 that is $T_0(2x) = 2T_0(x)$ for all $x \in \mathcal{M}$. Since $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(J_0^n f, T_0) = 0$ we easily conclude that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{f(2^n x)}{2^n} = T_0(x),$$

for all $x \in \mathcal{M}$. Note that the sequence $\{\frac{f(2^n x)}{2^n}\}$ is the Hyers sequence when one use the direct method in establishing stability.

The mapping T_0 is the unique fixed point of J_0 in the set $U = \{g \in \mathcal{S} : d(f, g) < \infty\}$. Hence T_0 is the unique fixed point of J_0 such that $\|f(x) - T_0(x)\| \leq K\psi(x)$ for some $K > 0$ and for all $x \in \mathcal{M}$. Again, by applying the fixed point alternative theorem we obtain

$$d(f, T_0) \leq \frac{1}{1 - L_0} d(f, J_0f) \leq \frac{L_0}{1 - L_0},$$

and so

$$\|f(x) - T_0(x)\| \leq \frac{L_0}{1 - L_0} \varphi(\frac{x}{2}, \frac{x}{2}, 0, 0, 0),$$

for all $x \in \mathcal{M}$.

It follows from (2.1) that

$$(2.4) \quad \|f(\mu x + y) - \mu f(x) - f(y)\| \leq \varphi(x, y, 0, 0, 0).$$

Let us replace x and y in (2.4) by $2^n x$ and $2^n y$, respectively, and divide the both sides by 2^n . Passing the limit as $n \rightarrow \infty$ we get

$$T_0(\mu x + y) = \mu T_0(x) + T_0(y),$$

for all $\mu \in \mathbb{T}$ and all $x, y \in \mathcal{M}$.

Next, let $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$ ($\lambda \neq 0$) and let K be a natural number greater than $4|\lambda|$. Then $|\frac{\lambda}{K}| < \frac{1}{4} < 1 - \frac{2}{3} = 1/3$. By Theorem 1 of [K-P], there exist three numbers $\mu_1, \mu_2, \mu_3 \in \mathbb{T}$ such that $3\frac{\lambda}{K} = \mu_1 + \mu_2 + \mu_3$. By the additivity of T_0 we get $T_0(\frac{1}{3}x) = \frac{1}{3}T_0(x)$ for all $x \in \mathcal{M}$. Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} T_0(\lambda x) &= T_0(\frac{K}{3} \cdot 3 \cdot \frac{\lambda}{K} x) = \frac{K}{3} T_0(3 \cdot \frac{\lambda}{K} x) \\ &= \frac{K}{3} T_0(\mu_1 x + \mu_2 x + \mu_3 x) = \frac{K}{3} (T_0(\mu_1 x) + T_0(\mu_2 x) + T_0(\mu_3 x)) \\ &= \frac{K}{3} (\mu_1 + \mu_2 + \mu_3) T_0(x) \\ &= \lambda T_0(x), \end{aligned}$$

for all $x \in \mathcal{M}$. So that T_0 is $\mathbb{C}$ -linear.

Putting $x = y = 0$ and replacing u, v, w by $2^n u, 2^n v, 2^n w$ in (2.1) we get

$$\begin{aligned} & \|2^{-3n} f(2^{3n} \langle u, v \rangle w) - \langle 2^{-n} f(2^n u), v \rangle w \\ & - \langle u, 2^{-n} f(2^n v) \rangle w - \langle u, v \rangle 2^{-n} f(2^n w)\| \leq 2^{-3n} \varphi(0, 0, 2^n u, 2^n v, 2^n w). \end{aligned}$$

Letting n tend to infinity we obtain

$$T_0(\langle u, v \rangle w) = \langle T_0(u), v \rangle w + \langle u, T_0(v) \rangle w + \langle u, v \rangle T_0(w),$$

for all $u, v, w \in \mathcal{M}$. Hence T_0 is a derivation on $\mathcal{M}$. $\square$

As a consequence of Theorem 3, we show the Rassias stability of derivations on Hilbert C^* -modules.

COROLLARY 4. *Suppose that $p \in [0, 1)$, $\alpha, \beta, \gamma > 0$ and $f : \mathcal{M} \rightarrow \mathcal{M}$ is a mapping satisfying $f(0) = 0$ and*

$$\begin{aligned} & \|f(\mu x + y) - \mu f(x) - f(y) - f(\langle u, v \rangle w) + \langle f(u), v \rangle w - \langle u, f(v) \rangle w - \langle u, v \rangle f(w)\| \\ & \leq \alpha + \beta(\|x\|^p + \|y\|^p + \|u\|^p + \|v\|^p + \|w\|^p) \\ & \quad + \gamma\|x\|^{p/2} \|y\|^{p/2} \|u\|^{p/2} \|v\|^{p/2} \|w\|^{p/2}, \end{aligned}$$

for all $\mu \in \mathbb{T}$ and all $x, y, u, v, w \in \mathcal{M}$. Then there exists a unique derivation $T_0 : \mathcal{M} \rightarrow \mathcal{M}$ such that

$$\|f(x) - T_0(x)\| \leq \frac{\alpha + \beta 2^{1-p} \|x\|^p + \gamma 2^{-p} \|x\|^p}{2^{1-p} - 1},$$

for all $x \in \mathcal{M}$.

PROOF. Put $\varphi(x, y, u, v, w) = \alpha + \beta(\|x\|^p + \|y\|^p + \|u\|^p + \|v\|^p + \|w\|^p) + \gamma\|x\|^{p/2} \|y\|^{p/2} \|u\|^{p/2} \|v\|^{p/2} \|w\|^{p/2}$, and let $L_0 = \frac{1}{2^{1-p}}$ in Theorem 3. Then $\psi(x) = \alpha + 2^{1-p}\beta\|x\|^p + 2^{-p}\gamma\|x\|^p$ and there exists a derivation T_0 with the required property. $\square$

THEOREM 5. *Let $\varphi : \mathcal{M}^5 \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ be a control function with the property*

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} 2^n \varphi(2^{-n} x, 2^{-n} y, 2^{-n} u, 2^{-n} v, 2^{-n} w) = 0,$$

for all $x, y, u, v, w \in \mathcal{M}$. Suppose that the mapping $f : \mathcal{M} \rightarrow \mathcal{M}$ is a mapping satisfying $f(0) = 0$ and

$$(2.5) \quad \|f(\mu x + y) - \mu f(x) - f(y) + f(\langle u, v \rangle w) - \langle f(u), v \rangle w - \langle u, f(v) \rangle w - \langle u, v \rangle f(w)\| \leq \varphi(x, y, u, v, w),$$

for all $\mu \in \mathbb{T}$ and $x, y, u, v, w \in \mathcal{M}$. Assume that there exists $0 \leq L_1 < 1$ such that the mapping $\psi(x) = \varphi(\frac{x}{2}, \frac{x}{2}, 0, 0, 0)$ has the property

$$\psi(x) \leq \frac{1}{2} L_1 \psi(2x),$$

for all $x \in \mathcal{M}$.

Then there exists a unique derivation $T_1 : \mathcal{M} \rightarrow \mathcal{M}$ such that

$$\|f(x) - T_1(x)\| \leq \frac{1}{1 - L_1} \psi(x),$$

for all $x \in \mathcal{M}$.

PROOF. Putting $\mu = 1, y = x$ and $u = v = w = 0$ in (2.5) we get

$$(2.6) \quad \|f(2x) - 2f(x)\| \leq \varphi(x, x, 0, 0, 0).$$

Replacing x by $\frac{x}{2}$ in (2.6), we obtain

$$\|f(x) - 2f(\frac{x}{2})\| \leq \psi(x).$$

Hence $d(f, J_1 f) \leq L_1 < \infty$, where the mapping J_1 is defined on $\mathcal{S} := \{g : \mathcal{M} \rightarrow \mathcal{M} : g(0) = 0\}$ by $(J_1 g)(x) := 2g(\frac{1}{2}x)$ as in Lemma 2. Applying the fixed point alternative we deduce the existence of a mapping $T_1 : \mathcal{M} \rightarrow \mathcal{M}$ such that T_1 is a fixed point of J_1 that is $T_1(\frac{1}{2}x) = \frac{1}{2}T_1(x)$ for all $x \in \mathcal{M}$. Since $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(J_1^n f, T_1) = 0$ we easily conclude that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} 2^n f(2^{-n}x) = T_1(x),$$

for all $x \in \mathcal{M}$.

The mapping T_1 is the unique fixed point of J_1 in the set $U = \{g \in \mathcal{S} : d(f, g) < \infty\}$. Hence T_1 is the unique fixed point of J_1 such that $\|f(x) - T_1(x)\| \leq K\psi(x)$ for some $K > 0$ and for all $x \in \mathcal{M}$. Again, by applying the fixed point alternative theorem we obtain

$$d(f, T_1) \leq \frac{1}{1 - L_1} d(f, J_1 f) \leq \frac{1}{1 - L_1},$$

and so

$$\|f(x) - T_1(x)\| \leq \frac{1}{1 - L_1} \varphi(\frac{x}{2}, \frac{x}{2}, 0, 0, 0),$$

for all $x \in \mathcal{M}$. The rest is similar to the proof of Theorem 3. $\square$

The following corollary is similar to Corollary 4 for the case where $p > 1$.

COROLLARY 6. *Suppose that $p > 1$, $\beta, \gamma > 0$ and $f : \mathcal{M} \rightarrow \mathcal{M}$ is a mapping satisfying $f(0) = 0$ and*

$$\begin{aligned} & \|f(\mu x + y) - \mu f(x) - f(y) + f(\langle u, v \rangle w) - \langle f(u), v \rangle w - \langle u, f(v) \rangle w - \langle u, v \rangle f(w)\| \\ & \leq \beta(\|x\|^p + \|y\|^p + \|u\|^p + \|v\|^p + \|w\|^p) + \gamma\|x\|^{p/2} \|y\|^{p/2} \|u\|^{p/2} \|v\|^{p/2} \|w\|^{p/2}, \end{aligned}$$

for all $\mu \in \mathbb{T}$ and all $x, y, u, v, w \in \mathcal{M}$. Then there exists a unique derivation $T_1 : \mathcal{M} \rightarrow \mathcal{M}$ such that

$$\|f(x) - T_1(x)\| \leq \frac{(\beta + \gamma/2)\|x\|^p}{2^{p-1} - 1},$$

for all $x \in \mathcal{M}$.

PROOF. Put $\varphi(x, y, u, v, w) = \alpha + \beta(\|x\|^p + \|y\|^p + \|u\|^p + \|v\|^p + \|w\|^p) + \gamma\|x\|^{p/2} \|y\|^{p/2} \|u\|^{p/2} \|v\|^{p/2} \|w\|^{p/2}$, and let $L_1 = \frac{1}{2^{p-1}}$ in Theorem 5. Then $\psi(x) = 2^{1-p}\beta\|x\|^p + 2^{-p}\gamma\|x\|^p$ and there exists a derivation T_1 with the required property. $\square$

REMARK 7. The case $p = 1$ remains unsolved.

References

- [ACZ] J. Aczél, *A short course on functional equations*, D. Reidel Publ. Co., Dordrecht, 1987.
- [AMY] M. Amyari, *Stability of C^* -inner Products*, to appear in J. Math. Anal. Appl.
- [B-M] C. Baak and M. S. Moslehian, *On the stability of J^* -homomorphisms*, Nonlinear Analysis (TAM), 63 (2005), 42–48.
- [B-V] M. Brešar and A. R. Villena, *The noncommutative Singer-Wermer conjecture and ϕ -derivations*, J. London Math. Soc. (2) 66 (2002), no. 3, 710–720.
- [C-R] L. Cădariu and V. Radu, *Fixed points and the stability of Jensen’s functional equation*, J. Inequal. Pure Appl. Math. 4 (2003), no. 1, Article 4, 7 pp.
- [CZE] S. Czerwik (ed.), *Stability of Functional equations of Ulam–Hyers–Rassias Type*, Hadronic Press, 2003.
- [GAJ] Z. Gajda, *On stability of additive mappings*, Int. J. Math. Math. Sci. 14 (1991), 431–434.
- [GAV] P. Găvruta, *A generalization of the Hyers–Ulam–Rassias stability of approximately additive mappings*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 184 (1994), 431–436.
- [GRU] P. M. Gruber, *Stability of isometries*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., 245 (1978), 263–277.
- [HYE] D. H. Hyers, *On the stability of the linear functional equation*, Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. U.S.A. 27 (1941), 222–224.
- [H-I-R] D. H. Hyers, G. Isac and Th. M. Rassias, *Stability of Functional Equations in Several Variables*, Birkhauser, Boston, Basel, Berlin, 1998.
- [I-R] G. Isac and Th. M. Rassias, *Stability of Ψ -additive mappings: applications to nonlinear analysis*, Internat. J. Math. Math. Sci. 19 (1996), no. 2, 219–228.
- [JUN] S.-M. Jung, *Hyers–Ulam–Rassias Stability of Functional Equations in Mathematical Analysis*, Hadronic Press, 2001.
- [K-P] R. V. Kadison and G. K. Pedersen, *Means and convex combinations of unitary operators*, Math. Scan. 57 (1985), 249–266.
- [LAN] E. C. Lance, *Hilbert C^* -modules*, LMS Lecture Note Series 210, Cambridge University Press, 1995.
- [L-X] X. Liu and T. Z. Xu, *Automatic continuity of derivations of Hilbert C^* -modules*, J. Baoji College Arts Sci. Nat. Sci. 1995, no. 2, 14–17.
- [M-M] M. Mirzavaziri and M. S. Moslehian, *Automatic continuity of σ -derivations in C^* -algebras*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., in press, arXiv: math.FA/0508028.
- [MOS1] M. S. Moslehian, *Approximate $(\sigma - \tau)$ -contractibility*, to appear in Nonlinear Funct. Anal. Appl., arXiv: math.FA/0501013.
- [MOS2] M. S. Moslehian, *Hyers–Ulam–Rassias stability of generalized derivations*, preprint, arXiv: math.FA/0501012.
- [MOS3] M. S. Moslehian, *Stability of adjointable mappings in Hilbert C^* -modules*, preprint, arXiv: math.FA/0501139.
- [PAL] Zs. Páles, *Hyers–Ulam stability of the Cauchy functional equation on square-symmetric groupoids*, Publ. Math. Debrecen 58 (2001), no. 4, 651–666.
- [PAR1] C. Park, *Linear derivations on Banach algebras*, Nonlinear Funct. Anal. Appl. 9 (2004), 359–368.
- [PAR2] C. Park *Homomorphisms between C^* -algebras and linear derivations on C^* -algebras*, to appear in Math. Inequ. Appl.
- [RAS1] Th. M. Rassias, *On the stability of the linear mapping in Banach spaces*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 72 (1978), 297–300.
- [RAS2] Th. M. Rassias (ed.), *Functional Equations and Inequalities*, Kluwer Academic Publishers, Dordrecht, Boston, London, 2000.
- [RAS3] Th. M. Rassias(ed.), *Functional Equations, Inequalities and Applications* Kluwer Academic Publishers, Dordrecht, Boston, Londonm, 2003.
- [R-S] Th. M. Rassias and P. Šemrl, *On the behavior of mappings which do not satisfy Hyers–Ulam stability*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 114 (1992), 989–993.
- [SZE] L. Székelyhidi, *Note on a stability theorem*, Canad. Math. Bull. 25 (1982), 500–501.
- [ULA] S. M. Ulam, *Problems in Modern Mathematics*, Wiley, New York, 1960.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, IS. AZAD UNIVERSITY, RAHNAMAEI AVE., MASHHAD 91735,
IRAN,
AND
BANACH MATHEMATICAL RESEARCH GROUP (BMRG)
E-mail address: amyari@msdiau.ac.ir

DEPARTMENT MATHEMATICS, FERDOWSI UNIVERSITY, P. O. Box 1159, MASHHAD 91775,
IRAN,
AND
CENTRE OF EXCELLENCY IN ANALYSIS ON ALGEBRAIC STRUCTURES (CEAAS), FERDOWSI UNIV.,
IRAN.
E-mail address: moslehian@ferdowsi.um.ac.ir